UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.			
10/646,853	08/22/2003	John C. Pederson	P76.2P-10714-US02	1504		
	7590 06/01/200 TT & STEINKRAUS,	EXAMINER				
6109 BLUE CI		BLOUNT, ERIC				
SUITE 2000 MINNETONK	A, MN 55343-9185	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
			2612			
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
		06/01/2007	PAPER			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

						K		
*			Application	ı No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/646,853	i .	PEDERSON, JOHN C.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		,	Eric M. Blo		2612			
The M. Period for Reply	AILING DATE of this commu	nication app	ears on the	cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ac	ldress		
A SHORTENI WHICHEVER - Extensions of tim after SIX (6) MO - If NO period for r - Failure to reply w Any reply receive	ED STATUTORY PERIOD IN IS LONGER, FROM THE INTERPRETATION IN IS LONGER, FROM THE INTERPRETATION IN IT IS LONGER, FROM THE INTERPRETATION IN IT IS LONGER IN IT IN IT IN IT IN IT IN IT IS LONGER IN IT I	MAILING DA is of 37 CFR 1.13 imunication. statutory period willy will, by statute,	ATE OF THI 66(a). In no ever rill apply and will cause the applic	S COMMUNICATION t, however, may a reply be tin expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this c D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•		
Status								
1)⊠ Respon	sive to communication(s) file	led on 22 Au	iaust 2003					
2a) ☐ This act	• •	2b)⊠ This		n-final.				
<u>'</u>	nis application is in condition	<i>'</i> —			osecution as to the	e merits is		
closed i	n accordance with the prac	tice under E	x parte Qua	yle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of C	laims							
 4) Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 								
Application Pape	ers							
9)∏ The spe	cification is objected to by t	he Examineı	r.			•		
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 August 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35	5 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
Attachment(s)				•				
	ences Cited (PTO-892)			4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	•		
2) D Notice of Drafts	sperson's Patent Drawing Review			Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F	ate ,			
Paper No(s)/Ma	closure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08 ail Date	, .		6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/646,853

Art Unit: 2612

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Status Update

1. Claims 1 and 2 are currently pending in the present application. This application does not contain an Information Disclosure Statement as indicated in the incoming letter from applicant, received on January 5, 2004.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 3. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for an optical input device, does not reasonably provide enablement for an optical input device configured to capture an image of a vehicle license plate and an image of the driver of the vehicle. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. Figure 2 shows separate optical devices for capturing an image of the driver and of the license plate. It is unclear how either of the two optical input devices shown is capable of transmitting an image of both the driver and the license plate, as indicated by the claim language.

Application/Control Number: 10/646,853 Page 3

Art Unit: 2612

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kucik [US 7,103,614 B1] in view of Ribacoff [US 5,635,981].

As for **claim 1**, Kucik discloses an automatic vehicle information retrieval for use at entry to a secure site comprising:

- Establishing a security zone (Figure 2);
- Positioning at least one optical input device to observe the security zone (106A);
- Connecting the optical input device to a computer (column 2, lines 54-64);
- Observing the occurrences within the security zone and communicating the observed occurrences to the computer (entry of a vehicle into a security zone and subsequently into the secure site is viewed as an occurrence);
- Processing the observed occurrences within the computer as compared to stored or retrieved data available to the computer (column 3, lines 13-30); and
- Issuing a signal to a security officer to investigate an occurrence (column 3, lines 30-45).

Kucik does not specifically disclose storing observed occurrences within the computer to create a database for the security zone. In an analogous art for identifying security investigations,

Art Unit: 2612

Ribacoff discloses a step of storing observed occurrences (approach a person into a security zone and an captured image) within a computer to create a database for the security zone (column 4, lines 59-65). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention by the applicant to modify the invention of Kucik to include a step for storing observed occurrences, as taught by Ribacoff because the modification would allow security personnel to determine all vehicles/people that previously entered a secure site. This would be advantageous when obtaining information about individuals and/or vehicles during and/or after a security event. Further, when a security event occurs, the security personnel would be able to determine what vehicles/people were present at the secure site at the time of the security event.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claim 2 would be allowable if the 35 U.S.C. 112, 1st paragraph rejection is overcome in response to this official action.

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric M. Blount whose telephone number is (571) 272-2973. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 8:00 am - 4:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Daniel Wu can be reached on (571) 272-2964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/646,853

Art Unit: 2612

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Eric M. Blount Examiner Art Unit 2612

BENJAMIN C. LEE PRIMARY EXAMINER